

LEWIS  
AND

ROCA  
LLP

## L A W Y E R S

E-Filed on 11/19/07

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Susan M. Freeman AZ State Bar No. 004199  
Email: [sfreeman@lrlaw.com](mailto:sfreeman@lrlaw.com)  
Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593  
Email: [rcharles@lrlaw.com](mailto:rcharles@lrlaw.com)  
John C. Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 18024  
Email: [jhinderaker@lrlaw.com](mailto:jhinderaker@lrlaw.com)

## Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,  
USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,  
USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND  
LLC,  
USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC  
USA SECURITIES, LLC,

## Debtors.

### Affects:

- All Debtors
  - USA Commercial Mortgage Company
  - USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
  - USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
  - USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
  - USA Securities, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR  
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR  
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR  
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR  
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-  
10725 LBR

**SIXTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF THE  
USACM LIQUIDATING TRUST TO PROOFS  
OF CLAIM FOR LACK OF  
DOCUMENTATION WITH CERTIFICATE  
OF SERVICE**

Hearing Date: December 20, 2007  
Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the “USACM Trust”) hereby files its Sixth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claim (the “Claims”) for Lack of Documentation (“Objection”). Pursuant to section 502 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), the USACM Trust moves the Court to deny the claims listed in Exhibit A, attached, because they were filed without supporting documentation. This

LEWIS  
AND  
ROCA  
LLP  
LAWYERS

1 Objection is supported by the Court's record and explained in the following  
 2 Memorandum.

3           **I.       JURISDICTION**

4           1.       The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334  
 5 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core  
 6 proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B).

7           2.       The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502  
 8 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

9           **II.      BACKGROUND**

10          1.       On April 13, 2006 ("Petition Date"), USA Commercial Mortgage  
 11 Company ("USACM"), USA Securities, LLC ("USA Securities"), USA Capital Realty  
 12 Advisors, LLC ("USA Realty"), USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC  
 13 ("DTDF"), USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC ("FTDF" and together with DTDF ,  
 14 the "Funds") (collectively the "Debtors"), filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter  
 15 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors continued to operate their businesses, if any, as  
 16 debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.  
 17 Post-petition management of the Debtors was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of  
 18 Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC ("Mesirow"), who served as the Chief  
 19 Restructuring Officer.

20          2.       USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM") is a Nevada Corporation  
 21 that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering,  
 22 funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of  
 23 investors and for its own account.

24          3.       On September 14, 2006, this Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File  
 25 Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest (the "Bar Date Order") [Docket No. 1280]. The

LEWIS  
AND  
ROCA  
LLP  
LAWYERS

1 Bar Date Order established 5:00 p.m., prevailing Pacific Time, on November 13, 2006, as  
 2 the deadline ("Bar Date") for creditors to file proof of claims.

3       4. On September 25, 2006, the Debtors served a copy of the Bar Date Order on  
 4 their service lists [Docket No. 1358]. All Direct Lenders were served with a copy of the  
 5 Bar Date Order as well [Docket No. 1358].

6       5. On November 6, 2006, a stipulation was filed and an order entered extending  
 7 the Bar Date for Direct Lenders only to file proofs of claim until January 13, 2007 [Docket  
 8 No. 1729].

9       6. On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the "Debtors'  
 10 Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" as Modified Herein [Docket No.  
 11 2376].

12       7. Debtors' Third Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan")  
 13 [Docket No. 1799] provides the following deadlines for objections to Claims:

14               (A) for any and all Claims and Equity Interests to which the  
 15 General Bar Date applies, ninety (90) days after the Effective Date; (B) for  
 16 any and all Claims to which the Administrative Claims Bar Date or the  
 17 Professionals Administrative Bar Date applies, thirty (30) days after the  
 18 expiration of the respective Bar Date; and (C) for any and all Claims to  
 19 which the Bar Date applicable under section B.3 of Art. V of the Plan  
 20 applies, thirty (30) days after the expiration of that Bar Date.

21       The deadline for claims objections has been continued from time to time by this  
 22 Court's order. The current deadline for such objections is February 12, 2008 [DE 4971].

23       8. USACM's claims and noticing agent received approximately 2,436 proofs of  
 24 claim as of the January 13, 2007 Bar Date, asserting claims totaling more than  
 25 \$997,000,000, plus unknown amounts based on unliquidated Claims.

26       9. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect  
 27 to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims.

28       10. The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was

LEWIS  
AND  
ROCA  
LLP  
LAWYERS

1 March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee.

2 11. Various claimants filed proofs of claim against USACM without supporting  
3 documentation of their Claims. Further, the proofs of claim do not appear to be supported  
4 by USACM's books and records. The USACM Trust contends that the Claims listed on  
5 **Exhibit A** attached hereto are not valid Claims and should be disallowed because there is  
6 no supporting documentation for the Claims as required under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f).

7 12. The USACM Trust contacted the claimants and requested the supporting  
8 documentation for the Claims in an effort to avoid objecting to the Claims. The USACM  
9 Trust sent out almost 400 letters and received about 150 responses. The USACM Trust's  
10 request has gone unanswered by the Claimants on **Exhibit A**.

### 11 III. **APPLICABLE AUTHORITY**

12 1. Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been  
13 filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the  
14 proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the  
15 Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable  
16 against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such  
17 claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

18 2. The USACM Trust is entitled to object to proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C.  
19 § 502(a). This objection is timely under the Court's orders.

20 3. A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule  
21 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption,  
22 the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the  
23 Claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*,  
24 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996). The ultimate  
25 burden of proof as to the validity of a proof of claim "remains at all times upon the  
26

LEWIS  
AND  
ROCA  
LLP  
LAWYERS

1 claimant.” *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc. (In re Lundell)*, 223 F.3d 1035,  
2 1039 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000).

#### 4 IV. OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

5 1. The USACM Trust objects to the Claims listed on **Exhibit A** on the basis  
6 that there is no proof to support the Claims and these claimants have produced no evidence  
7 or documentation supporting their Claim’s validity and the Claims do not appear to be  
8 supported by USACM’s books and records.. The USACM Trust asks that the Court  
9 disallow these Claims in their entirety.

10 2. The USACM Trust reserves the right to modify, supplement and/or amend  
11 this Objection as it pertains to any Claim or claimant herein.

#### 12 V. CONCLUSION

13 For the reasons discussed above, the USACM Trust objects to the proofs of claim  
14 listed on **Exhibit A** and requests that the Court disallow them in their entirety. The  
15 USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

16 Dated: November 19, 2007.

17 **LEWIS AND ROCA LLP**

18  
19 By: /s/ RC (#6593)

20 Susan M. Freeman, AZ 4199 (*pro hac vice*)  
21 Rob Charles, NV 6593

22 John C. Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)  
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5996  
Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
Telephone (702) 949-8320  
Email: RCharles@LRLaw.com  
24 *Counsel for USACM Liquidating Trust*

LEWIS  
AND

ROCA  
LLP

L A W Y E R S

1 Copy of the foregoing mailed on  
2 November 19, 2007 to the parties listed on  
Exhibit A attached hereto:

3 /s/ Christine E Laurel

4 Lewis and Roca LLP

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26